

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 09/965,050 09/27/2001 Stephan Houser 2001-6010-RA 5479 01/09/2003 30184 7590 MYERS & KAPLAN, INTELLECTUAL **EXAMINER** PROPERTY LAW, L.L.C. KATCHEVES, BASIL S 1827 POWERS FERRY ROAD BUILDING 3, SUITE 200, ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ATLANTA, GA 30339 3635

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
				HOUSER, STEPHAN		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Basil Katcheves		3635	1	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence addre	ss	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 S	September 2001 .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-fir	nal.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· ·	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	All b) Some * c) None of:	p.,, a.,	J. J	(5) 51 (1).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment		- p	. 2.2.0.33 120			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/965,050

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The method of claim 1 is a desired result. The steps for producing the concrete member and obtaining the desired results are not claimed.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the mesh width" in line 6 and "the sieving effect" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

Claims 5-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim does not refer back to a preceding claim in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Claims 5-10 have not been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 553,305 to Fordyce.

Regarding claim 1, Fordyce discloses a concrete wall panel (line 16) composed of two wire mesh reinforcing insert screens (fig. 10), wherein each mesh screen has different mesh widths in order to allow the concrete to pass through one layer and be secured by the lower layer.

Regarding claim2, Fordyce discloses the concrete as establishing the stiffness of the panel.

Regarding claim 3, Fordyce discloses the mesh as being metal wire (fig. 10).

Regarding claim 4, Fordyce discloses the panel as having an inherent weight dependant upon the thickness of the panel (lines 118-123).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited patents listed on the included form PTO-892 further show the state of the art with respect to reinforced concrete in general.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (703) 306-0232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,050

Art Unit: 3635

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (703) 308-0832.

BK *** 1/3/03

> Carl D: Friedman Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600